1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 KENNETH ALLEN NEIGHBORS, 11 Plaintiff, No. CIV S-05-0710 GEB PAN P 12 VS. 13 HOLTORF, et al., 14 Defendants. FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS 15 By order filed August 31, 2005, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty 16 17 days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. After reconsideration, that order was 18 affirmed, and after plaintiff took an interlocutory appeal, the Court of Appeals for the Ninth 19 Circuit dismissed plaintiff's appeal for lack of jurisdiction on January 9, 2006. Forty-five days 20 from that date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise 21 responded to the court's order. 22 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed 23 without prejudice. See Local Rule 11-110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District 25 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty 26 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written

## Case 2:05-cv-00710-GEB-PAN Document 13 Filed 02/28/06 Page 2 of 2

| 1  | objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's  |
|----|--|
| 2  | Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the |
| 3  | specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 |
| 4  | F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).   |
| 5  | DATED: February 27, 2006.  |
| 6  | 10 7 100 01  |
| 7  | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE   |
| 8  | CITIED STATES MACIGIRATE SOLICE  |
| 9  | /001; neig0710.fta   |
| 10 |  |
| 11 |  |
| 12 |  |
| 13 |  |
| 14 |  |
| 15 |  |
| 16 |  |
| 17 |  |
| 18 |  |
| 19 |  |
| 20 |  |
| 21 |  |
| 22 |  |
| 23 |  |
| 24 |  |
| 25 |  |